FORMAL JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT & REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE REMOVAL, LIFETIME DISQUALIFICATION, AND CRIMINAL PROSECUTION

* Filed With:

- Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit
- Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit
- United States Department of Justice Public Integrity Section
- United States Congress House & Senate Judiciary Committees
- Supreme Court of the United States Judicial Ethics & Public Integrity Office
- United States Office of Special Counsel Whistleblower Protection Division

Concerning:

- Judicial Corruption & Obstruction of Justice
- Systemic Due Process Violations
- · Fraud on the Court
- Denial of Fundamental Rights Under Color of Law
- Retaliation Against a Disabled Pro Se Litigant

Judges Implicated:

- Judge Benjamin Beaton United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky
- Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín United States District Court for the Northern District of California
- Additional Court Officers, Clerks, and Government Agencies Involved

Filed By:

John R. Fouts, MBA

Pro Se Litigant & Whistleblower

- Address: 2904 Sitka Dr. L29 | Louisville, KY 40299
- Phone: 502.956.0052 (Text Only ADA Accommodations)
- Fax: 502.996.8246 (HIPAA Compliant)
- Email: PatientAdvocacy@sfnlife.org | Fouts.John@gmail.com
- ♠ Date of Filing: 2025-03-09

John R. Fouts Plaintiff, Pro Se 2904 Sitka Dr. L29 Louisville, KY 40299

P. 502.956.0052 (Text Only - ADA Accommodations)

F. 502.996.8246 (HIPAA Compliant)

E. PatientAdvocacy@sfnlife.org | Fouts.John@gmail.com

Date: 2025-03-09

Formal Judicial Misconduct Complaint & Request for Immediate Removal, Lifetime Disqualification, and Criminal Prosecution

I am submitting this **formal judicial misconduct complaint** regarding severe and ongoing **violations of due process, obstruction of justice, and deprivation of rights under color of law** committed by **Judge Benjamin Beaton (Western District of Kentucky) and Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín (Northern District of California)**.

The attached complaint details multiple violations, including:

- Obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503)
- Deprivation of rights under color of law (18 U.S.C. § 242)
- Fraud on the court (Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 (1944))
- Judicial bias & failure to recuse (28 U.S.C. § 455)
- Unlawful case manipulation & procedural fraud
- Discrimination against a disabled pro se litigant (ADA, Olmstead v. L.C., 527
 U.S. 581 (1999))

Page 1 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Relief Requested:

I respectfully demand the following urgent corrective actions:

- 1. Immediate removal & lifetime disqualification of Judges Beaton and Martínez-Olguín.
- 2. Criminal referral to the U.S. Department of Justice for violations of federal law.
- Federal oversight and unsealing of improperly sealed judicial records (Dockets 43 and 56-2).
- Full investigation into procedural corruption involving court clerks and officers.
- 5. Immediate compliance with ADA accommodations ensuring all communication is in writing.

Supporting Documents:

- **Comprehensive Judicial Misconduct Complaint Report**
- Individual Misconduct Complaints for Judges Beaton & Martínez-Olguín
- **Relevant Case Law & Legal Citations**
- **Exhibits Available Upon Request / Supporting Documentation Docket Sheet**

Request for Written Confirmation & Case Number:

I request written confirmation of receipt and a case number for this complaint.

Please direct all correspondence in writing due to my ADA accommodations.

This matter is of immediate urgency, as my rights, and those of my special needs disabled child, continue to be violated daily.

Page 2 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09 I appreciate your prompt attention to this serious judicial misconduct complaint.

Sincerely,

John R. Foul

John R. Fouts, MBA Plaintiff, Pro Se

2904 Sitka Dr. L29 Louisville, KY 40299

P. 502.956.0052 (Text Only - ADA Accommodations)

F. 502.996.8246 (HIPAA Compliant)

E. PatientAdvocacy@sfnlife.org | Fouts.John@gmail.com

Page 3 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Date: 2025-03-09

Filed With:

- Judicial Council of the [Sixth/Ninth] Circuits
- Judicial Conference of the United States
- United States Department of Justice Public Integrity Section
- United States Congress House & Senate Judiciary Committees

Complainant:

John R. Fouts, MBA

2904 Sitka Dr. Apt. L29 Louisville, KY 40299

P. 502.956.0052 [Text Only – ADA Accommodations]

F. 502.996.8246 [HIPAA Compliant]

E. PatientAdvocacy@sfnlife.org | Fouts.John@gmail.com

Subject: Demand for Immediate Removal, Permanent Disqualification, and Criminal Prosecution of All Involved Judges & Oversight into Sixth and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeals Ongoing Obstruction of Justice Knowingly Causing Harm.

I. Introduction

Judges in the **Sixth and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeals**, have engaged in **deliberate** judicial misconduct, obstruction of justice, and multiple civil rights violations.

Despite numerous filings and emergency motions, these courts have:

- Refused to acknowledge properly submitted filings, including Writs of Mandamus, Injunction, and Prohibition
- Repeatedly denied ADA-mandated written communication accommodations

Page 1 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- Enabled and protected unconstitutional actions by lower court judges
- Kept key case records sealed to obstruct access to justice (from Plaintiff)

This complaint and the individual complaints attached demand:

- Immediate removal of Judge Benjamin Beaton from the bench (Western District Court of Kentucky – Sixth Circuit – See attached complaint)
- 2. Immediate removal of Judge Aracelli Martínez-Olguín from the bench (Northern District Court of California Ninth Circuit See attached complaint).
- 3. Formal investigation and corrective action against the Sixth & Ninth Circuits for ongoing blatant obstruction of justice knowingly causing ongoing irreparable harm.
- 4. Immediate criminal prosecution under federal law (18 U.S.C. § 242 & § 1503).
- 5. Immediate unsealing of unlawfully sealed case dockets (43 & 56-2).
- 6. Immediate Congressional oversight into systemic corruption in the federal appellate courts and at the district court levels.

II. Federal Civil Rights Violations Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 & § 1985

The Sixth and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeals Courts of Appeals have engaged in a **coordinated effort to deprive me of my constitutional rights**, violating:

- 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law
 - These courts, and respective judges, clerks, and others acting in their individual capacities, have intentionally obstructed my right to due

Page 2 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

process and equal protection by refusing to acknowledge my properly submitted legal filings and with malicious intent to harm and with the knowledge of causing intentional infliction of emotional distress (IIED).

 The Ninth Circuit refused to recognize my Writ of Mandamus, forcing months of unnecessary delay that continues to directly harm my case.

• 42 U.S.C. § 1985(2) – Obstructing Justice in Federal Courts

- The judges and appellate courts have used procedural manipulation to prevent my case from proceeding, constituting conspiracy to obstruct justice.
 - (Multiple Circuit Appellate Courts 6th and 9th Multiple Federal District Courts – Western District of Kentucky [Judge BJB] – Northern District of California – San Francisco [Judge AMO] – and
 - Unnamed/Unknown involved judges and clerks at both the district and appellate levels in both circuits.

• 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) - Conspiracy to Deny Equal Protection of the Laws

Their actions fit the Supreme Court precedent of *Dennis v. Sparks*, 449 U.S.
 24 (1980), where judicial immunity was removed for judges who conspired to deprive litigants of their rights.

Ku Klux Klan Act of 1871 (42 U.S.C. § 1983 & § 1985) – Conspiracy to Violate Civil Rights

- The courts' obstruction of justice and denial of due process align with the historical intent of the KKK Act, which was enacted to combat systemic corruption and state-sanctioned violations of civil rights.
- These actions demonstrate a clear abuse of judicial power to suppress and deny fundamental rights, necessitating immediate federal intervention.

Page 3 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

III. ADA Violations – Denial of Federally Mandated Written Communication / ADA Accommodations

- The Ninth Circuit refused to acknowledge my Writ of Mandamus submitted via email on January 10, 2025, despite my documented ADA accommodations requiring all communication to be in writing.
- This violates the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Rehabilitation Act, and federal court accessibility requirements.
- The Sixth Circuit failed to acknowledge my filing until I was forced to make a phone call—something I am rarely physically able to do.
- This pattern of systemic ADA violations constitutes federal discrimination under 42 U.S.C. § 12132.

→ Demand: Immediate review of appellate court ADA violations and enforcement of court accessibility standards immediately.

IV. Procedural Obstruction – Sealing of Case Records

- The courts' refusal to act on my filings is a direct violation of *Marbury v. Madison*, 5
 U.S. 137 (1803), which established that for every legal right, there must be a legal remedy. The failure to provide a ruling or any form of due process deprives me of my constitutional rights.
- Dockets 43 and 56-2 remain sealed without justification, despite me being the Plaintiff.
- This represents an intentional effort to obstruct justice by preventing me from accessing my own case records.

Page 4 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

★ Demand:

Immediate unsealing of all withheld unlawfully sealed case records, with written explanations as to why they were sealed to begin with.

✓ Immediate federal audit of all improperly sealed case records, including Dockets 43 and 56-2, to uncover any attempts to manipulate or obstruct my filings. These case records must be unsealed, and any evidence of corruption must result in criminal charges.

V. Fraud on the Court – Systemic Judicial Misconduct

- The courts' actions amount to **Fraud Upon The Court**, violating *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944), which established that fraudulently manipulating judicial proceedings invalidates court rulings.
- Failure to process filings, obstruction of procedural access, and deliberate judicial misconduct constitute systemic fraud.
- Judges and courts involved must be held accountable for their actions, which have undermined the integrity of the judicial system.

★ Demand:

- Immediate review of Fraud Upon The Court claims and appropriate federal intervention.
- Fraud on the court has been committed through procedural obstruction, improper sealing of case records, and intentional misrepresentation of legal filings. This constitutes direct violations of *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944), and demands immediate intervention. All individuals and agencies involved must be referred for criminal investigation under federal fraud statutes.

Page 5 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

The falsified CPS report, which has already been closed, must be immediately corrected. All relevant parties must receive a complete and corrected version of the report, ensuring that no false information remains on record. Furthermore, all individuals and agencies involved in the creation, dissemination, and refusal to correct this fraudulent report must be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law for criminal violations and held liable for all civil and tort violations with the maximum legal penalty.

VI. Clerk and Deputy Misconduct & Sanctions – Acting Under Color of Law

- Court clerks and deputies in the Sixth and Ninth Circuits and at the district level
 in the W. District of KY and N. District of CA San Francisco, have acted under
 color of law to obstruct due process by refusing to properly file or acknowledge
 submitted legal documents.
- Clerks have engaged in procedural manipulation, including ignoring ADAmandated accommodations, failing to respond to legally required communications, and improperly sealing case records.
- This behavior constitutes a violation of <u>18 U.S.C.</u> § <u>242 (Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law)</u> and <u>42 U.S.C.</u> § <u>1983 (Civil Rights Violations)</u>.
- The obstruction caused by clerks, including Mindy V. Sunderland and James T.
 Vilt Jr. in the US District Court of W. Kentucky has prevented timely access to justice, further violating *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137 (1803), which guarantees that every right must have a legal remedy.
- Federal law mandates sanctions against clerks and/or deputies who abuse their positions to block access to justice.

Page 6 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

Demand:

- ✓ Immediate investigation and disciplinary action against involved clerks.
- Immediate whistleblower protections under federal law must be enacted to shield me from continued retaliation for exposing systemic corruption. As my case involves violations by multiple agencies, including the judiciary, Medicaid, housing authorities, and state officials, I require immediate enforcement of the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302) and related federal protections against retaliatory action.
- Clerks, judicial deputies, and other administrative personnel have acted under color of law to obstruct due process, interfere with filings, refuse ADA accommodations, and manipulate court records to suppress my ability to seek justice. These actions constitute direct violations of 18 U.S.C. § 242 (Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Civil Rights Violations), and the False Claims Act where procedural obstruction facilitated federal fraud. I demand immediate criminal referrals and disciplinary actions against all involved parties, including permanent removal from judicial administration.
- Congressional oversight into the systemic obstruction of filings in federal courts.
- A Special Master must be appointed immediately to oversee all judicial actions in my cases, ensuring that all future rulings, filings, and procedural processes are conducted with full transparency and in compliance with federal law. Furthermore, I demand immediate access to independent legal counsel with no ties to the conflicted agencies involved, ensuring that my legal representation is free from systemic bias or influence.
- Immediate sanctions and disciplinary action against court clerks, deputies, and judicial personnel who have obstructed my filings, manipulated case records, and acted under color of law to deprive me of due process. Immediate federal

Page 7 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

criminal referrals under 18 U.S.C. § 242 (Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law).

- Congress must immediately launch a full-scale oversight investigation into the widespread judicial corruption, procedural fraud, ADA violations, and Medicaid fraud detailed in this document. Legislative intervention is required to enforce compliance with federal disability rights, ensure transparency in court proceedings, and prevent continued violations of constitutional protections.
- The courts' actions amount to **Fraud Upon The Court**, violating *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944), which established that fraudulently manipulating judicial proceedings invalidates court rulings.
- Failure to process filings, obstruction of procedural access, and deliberate judicial misconduct constitute systemic fraud.
- Judges and courts involved must be held accountable for their actions, which have undermined the integrity of the judicial system.

📌 Demand:

Immediate review of fraud on the court claims and appropriate federal intervention.

VII(i). Relief Demanded – Full Federal Oversight & Intervention

🖈 Against Judge Benjamin J. Beaton (Western District of Kentucky in 6th Circuit):

- Immediate removal from the bench.
- Permanent disqualification from holding any judicial office.

Page 8 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- Referral for criminal prosecution under 18 U.S.C. § 242 & § 1503.
- A Special Master must be appointed immediately to oversee all procedural and judicial matters related to my cases, including at the Supreme Court level, to ensure procedural integrity and prevent further obstruction of justice.
- The 504 Plan for my child must be fully enforced, and the school must be placed under federal observation for ongoing IDEA and ADA violations. Jefferson County Public Schools (JCPS) and Phoenix School of Discovery have systematically refused to adhere to federal disability laws and have conducted meetings regarding my child's education without the presence of either parent (JAF's mother or myself). This constitutes an egregious violation of both the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Immediate federal intervention is required to ensure full compliance, and all responsible parties must be held accountable.
- Immediate assignment of independent, specialized legal counsel, or counsels due to breadth and depth of case, with no conflicts of interest with local, state or federal agencies to assist me in securing full accountability for the violations against me and my child.
 - o See additional individual complaint and request document included.

Against Judge Aracelli Martínez-Olguín (N. District of California in 9th Circuit):

- Immediate removal from the bench.
- Permanent disqualification from holding any judicial office.
- Referral for criminal prosecution under 18 U.S.C. § 242 & § 1503.
 - See additional individual complaint and request document included.

Page 9 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

Against the Sixth & Ninth Circuits:

For ALL involved members of the judiciary

- Immediate removal from the bench.
- Permanent disqualification from holding any judicial office.
- Referral for criminal prosecution under 18 U.S.C. § 242 & § 1503.
- Formal thorough federal investigation into their refusal to acknowledge emergency filings.
- Immediate enforcement of ADA compliance in federal courts.
- Congressional Judiciary Committee oversight into appellate court and district level gross obstruction of justice and extreme corruption.
- Immediate unsealing of all improperly unlawfully sealed case records, and written statement released to the Plaintiff and available to the public as to why these docket items were sealed to begin with, unlawfully.

Additional Relief Sought

- Immediate Special Master assignment to oversee judicial integrity in my case(s).
- Immediate enforcement of ADA compliance in federal courts.
- Immediate correction of the defendants' listing to reflect the proper parties.
- Immediate addition of all omitted defendants that the courts refused to include.
- Correction of the official case title to eliminate improper immunity clauses.
- Immediate issuance of all summonses once the corrections are made.
- Immediate granting of IFP status.
- Special Master oversight, including at the Supreme Court level, to ensure procedural integrity.
- Immediate granting of compensatory and punitive damages.
- Immediate stay of unlawful eviction and protection from retaliatory housing actions, obstruction, refusal to provide valid Mainstream Voucher, refusal to assist with housing, ongoing refusal to acknowledge VAWA, ADA, and FHA

Page 10 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

regulations.

- Upon correcting defendants list and issuing summonses, grant US Marshals to deliver all court related materials and Certificates of Services.
- Immediate criminal charges against all individuals involved in housingrelated corruption and systemic medical neglect.
- Immediate access to all medically necessary treatments that remain wrongfully denied despite clear medical necessity.
- Investigation into RICO, False Claims Act violations, and Civil Conspiracy.
- Criminal and civil enforcement of violations of the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 13th, and 14th Amendments.
- Immediate assistance in securing specialized legal counsel, or groups of legal counsel due to sheer breadth and depth of this/these case(s), for me as a disabled pro se litigant and single father of a disabled child.

VII(ii). Additional Violations & Need for Immediate Action

While this complaint highlights some of the most egregious violations, **other serious misconduct and procedural violations exist that also demand immediate investigation.** These include, but are not limited to:

- Refusal to Provide Medically Necessary Treatments: The majority of my
 medically necessary care remains denied, despite clear evidence of medical
 necessity. Insurance companies and Medicaid have systematically refused to
 cover necessary treatments, even when prescribed and deemed critical for
 survival.
- Out-of-Pocket Medical Expenses Due to Denied Coverage: To avoid further injury
 and potential death, I have been forced to spend over \$18,000 out-of-pocket for
 necessary medical treatments. Medicaid has refused to reimburse any of these

Page 11 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

expenses, even preemptively denying coverage before I was able to submit expenses for consideration.

- Medicaid Refusal to Generate Denial Letters: Medicaid and insurers have refused
 to issue formal denials, preventing me from appealing these wrongful denials
 and leaving me without any recourse to challenge their decisions.
- Medicaid and MedImpact have unlawfully refused to cover out-of-state expenses for treatment by Dr. Vaughn in Alabama, despite the fact that he is the only physician in the United States utilizing triple anticoagulant therapy and a specialized protocol for treating Long COVID with confirmed microclots. Federal Medicaid laws require coverage when no equivalent treatment exists in-state, yet Kentucky Medicaid and CHFS/DCBS have unlawfully denied this life-saving treatment. This refusal constitutes a direct violation of federal Medicaid regulations and disability protections under the Olmstead Act. Immediate corrective action is required, including full reimbursement of all out-of-pocket medical expenses related to this treatment.
- Wrongful Termination of SNAP Benefits: In November 2024, my child and I, as a
 fully disabled household, were wrongfully removed from SNAP benefits, leaving
 us without essential food assistance despite our documented eligibility.
- Medicaid's Refusal to Deduct Medical Expenses from Income Calculations:
 Medicaid has refused to apply any of my medical expenses to reduce my
 countable income, despite the fact that my only income is SSA/SSDI Title II
 benefits, which should qualify for deductions based on medical hardship.

Page 12 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- CHFS/DCBS has unlawfully refused to reimburse medical mileage at \$0.21 per mile, in violation of federal Medicaid requirements. This refusal has further obstructed my access to necessary medical care and constitutes a deliberate attempt to impose financial hardship as a form of retaliation. Immediate federal enforcement is required to ensure proper reimbursement and adherence to federal Medicaid guidelines.
- Intentional Misconduct by Medicaid and MedImpact Representatives: Medicaid
 Member Services and MedImpact have engaged in a systemic runaround, each
 directing me to the other even at the supervisory level to prevent me from
 obtaining information about my own prior authorizations and approved
 medications.
- State-Led Document Fraud and Discrimination: The state of Kentucky has directly lied on official government documents regarding my case, with its own records proving instances of discrimination—most notably regarding my IVIG approval, where they falsely claimed no appeal or initial claim was made in 2024, despite me providing their own contradictory paperwork.
- Systemic Refusal to Correct Medical Records Despite Clear Evidence: Multiple
 healthcare providers and institutions—including Dr. Pendleton of Baptist Health,
 Norton, U of L, Dr. Langford, UK Clinic, and others—have refused to correct
 false and misleading medical records even when confronted with objective
 medical evidence proving inaccuracies.
- Falsified CPS Reports and Denial of Correction Rights: The false CPS report
 remains uncorrected despite objective evidence proving its inaccuracies.

Page 13 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

Authorities have actively obstructed my ability to correct these records, leading to irreparable harm and legal vulnerability.

- Violations of the Olmstead Act & Medicaid Waiver Retaliation: Multiple
 Medicaid waiver service organizations have dropped me solely because I refused to sign away my federally protected rights. This is in direct violation of federal disability laws, and enforcement agencies in Kentucky—including up to the
 Governor's Office—have refused to intervene.
- Widespread Tort Violations: These include medical negligence, medical malpractice, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract by insurance providers, and discrimination based on complex illnesses.
- HIPAA, FACTA, and FCRA Violations: Healthcare providers and agencies have engaged in unauthorized disclosures, refusal to correct records, and mismanagement of protected medical and financial information.
- Fraudulent Housing Practices & Theft of Rental Payments: Station JTown and related entities obstructed my ability to pay rent by denying access to my payment records, preventing accurate tracking of what I owe. I overnighted a FedEx package containing a money order for the estimated amount (\$225), which they accepted but have failed to apply to my account. If my funds were not immediately applied upon acceptance, this constitutes further fraudulent activity.
- Targeted Housing Discrimination & Retaliation: Individuals and entities directly
 involved in this corruption include but are not limited to Teresa Ramon, Lauren
 Stallings, Victoria Greene, Elizabeth Strojan, Camille Robinson, Rose McCarty,

Page 14 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

Irina Bassett, Terri Thornton, James Berrocal, Frank McNeil, Nicole A. Hayden, and Dionne Hopson. These individuals and agencies refuse to issue legally compliant housing vouchers and continue to engage in targeted retaliation for my assertion of rights under disability protections.

- Public School Violations of IDEA & Section 504 Regulations: Phoenix School of Discovery, under Jefferson County Public Schools leadership, including Superintendent Dr. Marty Pollio and Principal Ken Moeller, has refused to acknowledge, accept, honor, and follow 504 plan regulations, IDEA, and ADA mandates, directly harming my child.
- Ongoing Retaliatory Eviction Attempts: A new eviction notice was issued on March 9, 2025, despite my legal efforts to fight against unlawful housing actions.
 These retaliatory attempts appear to be part of a larger pattern of judicial and administrative abuse to force me into a more vulnerable position and obstruct my ability to seek justice.
- Refusal to Correct Defendants' List: Courts have deliberately refused to correct
 the list of defendants, improperly listing parties in a way that shields them under
 immunity clauses and prevents summons issuance.
- Collusion with Ace Legal Services: Highly irregular email activity suggests a strong likelihood of collusion, as indicated by flurries of coordinated openings of old filings and emails.
- Unjustified Case Transfer & Closure: My case was immediately transferred from
 California to Kentucky, against my explicit objections, and closed before I was

 even made aware of the transfer—depriving me of due process.

Page 15 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- My child was removed as a plaintiff as retaliation for me requesting sanctions and criminal referrals, and my case was downgraded to a Magistrate Judge despite my explicit objection to Magistrate Judge in Docket 9. My IFP applications have not ever been properly nor appropriately reviewed – also in violation of multiple federal laws.
- Improper Sealing of Case Documents: Docket 43 was sealed during the transition between California and Kentucky and remains improperly sealed, preventing access to critical filings.
- Summons Denials: Clerks in four separate courts have refused to correct filing errors, intentionally preventing summons issuance, thus blocking my ability to properly serve defendants.
- Misrepresentation by the California Clerk's Office: The clerk's office in California
 falsely claimed that my case had all necessary documentation to proceed, only to
 later use procedural technicalities to justify closing it.

Demand:

- All items noted below are only a portion of full actual criminal, civil, tort, and constitutional violations. Many Kentucky state laws have also been violated, but the federal and state laws, are not recognized by Defendants in Plaintiffs' cases.
- Why is this the case? Plaintiff(s) demand full formal and immediate investigations into all areas – not only those noted, an accounting of federal funds in involved programs in Kentucky, full legal remedies including punitive and compensatory damages in multiple areas of violations of law, protection from all criminal activity and civil and tort and constitutional violations for life...

Page 16 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- Immediate investigation into systemic judicial misconduct, including removal of corrupt judges, clerks, and deputies from the judiciary.
- ✓ Criminal referrals for all listed, including but not limited to, individuals, agencies, and entities engaged in fraud, medical negligence, insurance contract breaches, housing discrimination, and procedural obstruction along with any individual acting in his/her/their/or other pronoun identifier acting under color of law or color of office.
- Immediate and permanent whistleblower protections under federal law to ensure my safety from ongoing retaliation, judicial misconduct, and systemic discrimination. Federal intervention is required to prevent further obstruction and ensure protection from targeted retaliation. This protection must extend beyond me, and my child (JAF), to also include protection for my extended family, and my ex-wife, and her extended family.
- Congressional oversight into the rampant corruption, civil rights violations, and systemic disability discrimination taking place within Kentucky and at the federal court level and within CHFS and all sub-agencies, also including Governor Andy Beshear acting in his individual capacity as the Governor of Kentucky.
- ✓ Immediate federal intervention to enforce IDEA, Section 504, and ADA protections for my child, including an investigation into Jefferson County Public Schools, Phoenix School of Discovery, and officials such as Dr. Marty Pollio and Ken Moeller.
- ☑ Immediate federal intervention into Medicaid and insurance fraud, including forced reimbursement of the \$18,000+ in out-of-pocket medical expenses incurred due to wrongful denials.
- A full federal audit must be conducted into all Medicaid refusals linked to my case, including systematic fraud where providers and agencies have blocked appealable denials to prevent me from challenging wrongful rejections. This violates multiple federal laws, including the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-

Page 17 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

3733). The obstruction of legally mandated coverage has directly endangered my life and must be investigated as medical negligence and criminal fraud.

- Immediate federal investigation into illegal Medicaid waiver caps imposed in Kentucky, where agencies have fraudulently cited participant limits of \$3,500 and \$2,500, as well as a "one-at-a-time" rule that violates federal law and the Olmstead Act. These arbitrary caps have been used to deny access to legally required services, violating federal disability protections under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. Federal enforcement action is required to rectify this discrimination and systemic fraud. Maximum prosecution to the fullest extent of the law.
- Medicaid and MedImpact have unlawfully refused to cover out-of-state expenses for treatment by Dr. Vaughn in Alabama, despite the fact that he is the only physician in the United States utilizing triple anticoagulant therapy and a specialized protocol for treating Long COVID with confirmed microclots. Federal Medicaid laws require coverage when no equivalent treatment exists in-state, yet Kentucky Medicaid and CHFS/DCBS have unlawfully denied this life-saving treatment. This refusal constitutes a direct violation of federal Medicaid regulations and disability protections under the Olmstead Act. Immediate corrective action is required, including full reimbursement of all out-of-pocket medical expenses related to this treatment.
- CHFS/DCBS has unlawfully refused to reimburse medical mileage at \$0.21 per mile, in violation of federal Medicaid requirements. This refusal has further obstructed my access to necessary medical care and constitutes a deliberate attempt to impose financial hardship as a form of retaliation. Immediate federal enforcement is required to ensure proper reimbursement and adherence to federal Medicaid guidelines.
- ✓ Immediate stay of eviction and federal intervention to prevent any future retaliatory housing actions. Courts and agencies must be barred from using procedural abuse as a means of retaliation against whistleblowers and disabled litigants.

Page 18 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- Immediate acknowledgement of VAWA for me and my child. Immediate mandate to compel all to follow VAWA and ADA for me and my child. Immediate STAY of unlawful illegal eviction which Louisville Metro Housing Authority, Housing and Urban Development, FHEO, PIH, and others are directly involved in acting under color of law and color of office.
- ✓ Immediate correction of the defendants' listing in my cases, issuance of all summonses, and legal action against court clerks who have actively obstructed case progression.
- ✓ Immediate application of all payments made to Station JTown and criminal referrals against individuals involved in fraudulent housing actions and financial obfuscation.
- Immediate compensatory and punitive damages for violations of my constitutional and federally protected rights.
- A Special Master assigned to oversee all procedural and judicial matters in my cases, including Supreme Court oversight to ensure due process.
- Criminal and civil enforcement of violations of the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 13th, and 14th Amendments, RICO violations, False Claims Act violations, and Civil Conspiracy.
- ✓ Immediate assignment of specialized legal counsel to assist me as a disabled pro se litigant and single father of a disabled child, ensuring that I am not further disadvantaged by the systemic corruption targeting me.
- Immediate enforcement of 18 U.S.C. § 242 for violations committed under color of law by judicial officers, clerks, law enforcement, and other officials involved in obstructing justice and violating my rights. Immediate review of appellate court ADA violations and enforcement of court accessibility standards.

Page 19 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- ✓ Immediate enforcement of 504 plan, ADA, and IDEA regarding Phoenix School of Discovery.
- ✓ Immediate recognition and acknowledgement of intentional infliction of emotional distress (IIED), torture, sedition, and treason.
- Maximum prosecution to the fullest extent of the law for all those involved in violating my rights and my child's and guilty of civil, criminal, tort, and/or constitutional violations.
- ✓ Immediate assistance from highest levels of government regarding securing SAFE HOUSING AS MANDATED AND REQUIRED FOR MAINSTREAM VOUCHER HOUSING RECIPIENTS.
- ☑ Immediate formal legal recognition and enforcement of ADA accommodations including but not limited to all things in writing via email or fax (all correspondence or communications)
- ✓ Recognition of violations equivalent to or even worse than war crimes against humanity and legally appropriate remedy including additional compensatory and punitive damages.
- Recognition and acknowledgement of the extreme mental, emotional, physical, and spiritual toll this has taken on a disabled single father with disabled special needs child, that has been chronically ill with complex illness, living in severe pain 24/7 due to CRPS, and with PROFOUND fatigue from Severe Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, Small Fiber Polyneuropathy, Multiple Organ Systems Damage, Long Covid with Microclots, and many more.
- Granting **any other** and further relief that the Judicial Council(s), Congressional Committees, and Others **deem just** and equipped to equitable.
- Plaintiff reserves the right to add or amend this list at any time through
 Discovery and/or beyond as special circumstances allow.

Page 20 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

Immediate investigation into these additional violations, removal of corrupt judicial figures, clerks, and deputies from the judiciary, and enforcement of constitutional protections to preserve the **democracy and legal integrity of the United States.**

VIII. Summary & Timeline of Key Dates and Judicial Inaction

The following key events demonstrate **deliberate judicial inaction** and obstruction:

• Jan 10, 2025: Writ of Mandamus sent via email to Ninth Circuit under ADA accommodations. No acknowledgment.

• Jan 13, 2025: Ninth Circuit still refuses to acknowledge the emailed Writ of Mandamus, and pretends like they did not receive the email

that they responded to.

• Feb 3, 2025: Sixth Circuit received Writ of Mandamus, Injunction, and

Prohibition. No response.

• Feb 20, 2025: Able to make a single call to Sixth Circuit; only then was the

case docketed. Often not able to make calls due to illness issues and related symptoms and physiological issues.

March 8, 2025: Finally able to send a physical copy of the Writ to the Ninth

Circuit. Unable previously due to illness ongoing issues.

March 7-8, 2025: Filed Writ of Mandamus and Certiorari with the U.S. Supreme

Court (expected delivery on March 10).

Ongoing: No rulings on any of my filings in the Sixth Circuit since

docketing the Writ of Mandamus, Injunction, and Prohibition.

Page 21 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

Writ of Mandamus

Case No.: 25-5144 (no rulings)

Interlocutory Appeal

Case No.: 25-5155 (no rulings)

IX. Additional Actions Taken

Writ of Mandamus and Certiorari have been submitted to the U.S. Supreme Court.

✓ Judicial Ethics Complaints will be filed separately against individual judges in the Federal District courts. Appellate court judges responsible for ignoring my filings remain unknown entities, as do many clerks and deputies acting in their individual capacities under color of law.

This complaint is being sent to all relevant oversight bodies simultaneously.

Case Numbers:

•	Ninth	Circuit: US District Court – N. District CA– San Francisco	3:24-09325-AMO
•	Sixth (Circuit: US District Court – W. District KY– Louisville	3:25-00033-BJB-RSE
•	Sixth Circuit Appellate: Sixth Appellate Court– Cincinnati, OH		
	0	Writ of Mandamus, Injunction, and Prohibition	First, Refusal To
			Acknowledge Feb. 3, 2025
	0	Second Submission Writ of Mandamus, Injunction, Pro.	25-5144
	0	Interlocutory Appeal	25-5155
Ninth Circuit Appellate: Ninth Appellate Court– S. Francisco, CA Not Acknowledged, nor			Not Acknowledged, nor
			accepted.

Page 22 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

Cited Legal Authorities & Precedents

Legal Authorities, Constitutional Rights, & Precedents

(This is not an all-inclusive list and is subject to amendment or expansion as necessary. The complainant reserves the right to add additional violations and legal citations as the case proceeds.)

U.S. Constitutional Rights Violated:

- First Amendment (Freedom of Speech & Petition for Redress of Grievances)
 - The denial of access to the courts, procedural obstruction, and retaliatory eviction attempts violate my First Amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
- Fourth Amendment (Protection Against Unreasonable Searches & Seizures)
 - Government officials and agencies have engaged in unlawful surveillance, retaliatory actions, and deprivation of property rights (including fraudulent housing actions).
- Fifth Amendment (Due Process & Takings Clause Violations)
 - My right to due process has been systematically obstructed through judicial corruption, sealed case records, refusal to correct the defendants' list, and denial of my ability to seek fair legal remedies.
 - Medicaid's denial of medical coverage and refusal to provide appealable denials violates federal due process requirements.
- Sixth Amendment (Right to Counsel in Criminal Proceedings)
 - Although this is a civil case with criminal implications, I have been denied
 access to legal representation and procedural fairness. The severity of the case
 warrants the appointment of independent legal counsel.
- Seventh Amendment (Right to a Jury Trial in Civil Cases)
 - Judicial corruption, procedural fraud, and obstruction have effectively denied me my right to have a fair trial before an impartial jury.

Page 23 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- Eighth Amendment (Protection Against Cruel & Unusual Punishment)
 - Denying medically necessary treatments and retaliating against me for asserting my rights has resulted in extreme physical suffering and deterioration of my health, violating Eighth Amendment protections.
- Ninth Amendment (Unenumerated Rights Retained by the People)
 - The government's denial of fundamental rights under the guise of procedural tactics violates broader constitutional protections retained by the people.
- Tenth Amendment (Federal vs. State Authority & Medicaid Violations)
 - Kentucky has violated federal Medicaid laws, including out-of-state treatment requirements, while improperly invoking state-level discretion to justify noncompliance with federal regulations.
- Thirteenth Amendment (Protection Against Involuntary Servitude & Oppression)
 - Systemic discrimination and denial of resources based on disability effectively
 place me in a position of forced suffering and deprivation, violating my rights
 under the Thirteenth Amendment.
- Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection & Due Process Violations)
 - My due process rights have been obstructed, my access to judicial remedies has been blocked, and I have been subject to discrimination based on disability, financial status, and whistleblower retaliation.

Federal Statutes & Legal Precedents Cited:

(Including but not limited to the following laws, which apply to this case. The complainant reserves the right to amend or expand these citations as necessary.)

- 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights
 - Government officials, judicial officers, and agencies have acted under color of law to deprive me of fundamental rights, access to due process, and legal remedies.
- ✓ 42 U.S.C. § 1985 Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights

Page 24 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- Multiple agencies, individuals, and entities have colluded to suppress my legal rights, deny me procedural justice, and block my access to court-ordered remedies.
- ✓ 18 U.S.C. § 242 Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law
 - Judges, clerks, and government officials have acted outside their lawful authority to manipulate records, obstruct filings, and retaliate against me for exercising my legal rights.
- ✓ 18 U.S.C. § 1503 Obstruction of Justice
 - Judicial officers, clerks, and state agencies have engaged in deliberate obstruction, including refusal to docket filings, manipulation of case records, and failure to act on emergency motions.
- ▼ RICO Act (18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968) Racketeering & Corrupt Enterprise Violations
 - There is evidence of a coordinated effort among judicial figures, healthcare agencies, and housing officials to engage in systemic fraud and obstruction for financial and retaliatory purposes.
- ▼ False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) Fraud Against Federal Programs
 - Medicaid, DCBS, CHFS, and private insurers have engaged in fraudulent denials, refusal to process claims properly, and illegal Medicaid waiver caps in violation of federal law.
- Olmstead v. L.C., 527 U.S. 581 (1999) Disability Rights & Medicaid Access
 - Kentucky's Medicaid and CHFS/DCBS have illegally denied Medicaid-covered services and out-of-state treatment, violating Olmstead protections that require equal access to medical care.
- ▼ Tennessee v. Lane, 541 U.S. 509 (2004) ADA Enforcement in Courts
 - Courts have failed to accommodate my ADA-mandated written communication needs, obstructing my ability to engage in legal proceedings.
- ✓ Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803) Right to Judicial Remedies

Page 25 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

- The refusal of the judiciary to rule on my filings violates the principle that every legal right must have a corresponding legal remedy.
- ✓ Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 (1944) Fraud on the Court
 - Judicial manipulation of records, refusal to issue summonses, and procedural fraud constitute "fraud on the court" requiring immediate remedial action.
- Dennis v. Sparks, 449 U.S. 24 (1980) Judicial Immunity Exceptions
 - Judicial immunity does not apply when a judge conspires with private actors or engages in misconduct outside the scope of lawful judicial duties.
- ✓ Ku Klux Klan Act of 1871 (42 U.S.C. § 1983 & § 1985) Government Conspiracy & Civil Rights Violations
 - Kentucky officials, agencies, and judicial figures have systematically conspired to obstruct my rights, suppress legal remedies, and retaliate against me for exposing corruption.
- ✓ Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302) Protection Against Retaliation
 - I am being retaliated against for exposing corruption in healthcare, housing, and judicial processes, and I demand immediate enforcement of whistleblower protections.

Additional Relevant Legal Precedents & Protections

(This is not an all-inclusive list. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or expand this section as necessary.)

- Olmstead v. L.C., 527 U.S. 581 (1999) State Medicaid programs must provide services in the most integrated setting appropriate to an individual's needs. Kentucky's refusal to provide out-of-state treatment, medical equipment, and necessary care constitutes direct discrimination under the ADA.
- Tennessee v. Lane, 541 U.S. 509 (2004) The Supreme Court ruled that Title II of the ADA requires courts to accommodate individuals with disabilities to ensure access

Page 26 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

to legal proceedings. The systematic refusal of the judiciary to accommodate my written communication needs and the obstruction of my filings directly violates this ruling.

- Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803) Established that for every legal right, there must be a legal remedy. The judiciary's refusal to rule on my filings and denial of access to due process violates this fundamental principle of American law.
- Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 (1944) Fraud on the court invalidates judicial rulings. The procedural manipulation, falsified records, and obstruction of justice in my case demonstrate systemic fraud requiring immediate legal remedy.
- Ku Klux Klan Act of 1871 (42 U.S.C. § 1983 & § 1985) Created to prevent government corruption and civil rights violations. The judiciary, Kentucky state agencies, and housing authorities have systematically engaged in the type of conspiratorial misconduct this Act was designed to prevent.
- Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302) Federal protections for whistleblowers exposing corruption in government programs. My case involves massive government fraud and judicial misconduct, and I demand immediate whistleblower protections to prevent retaliation.
- RICO Act (18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968) Establishes criminal and civil liability for coordinated fraudulent activity and corruption. The housing, Medicaid, judicial, and healthcare fraud in my case constitutes a widespread pattern of corruption requiring RICO enforcement.

(Plaintiff reserves the right to cite additional federal laws, case precedents, and constitutional violations as necessary.)

ADA Accommodations – All Communication in Writing

This document serves as a formal notice that, as a disabled individual, I require all communication to be in writing under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act. Failure to comply constitutes a violation of federal disability protections.

Page 27 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

Final Statement:

This list is not exhaustive. Other federal, state, and constitutional violations exist, and I reserve the right to amend, expand, or add additional legal citations as necessary throughout the course of these proceedings.

Sincerely,

John R. Fouts, MBA Plaintiff, Pro Se

John R. Fouts

2904 Sitka Dr. L29 Louisville, KY 40299

P. 502.956.0052 (Text Only - ADA Accommodations)

F. 502.996.8246 (HIPAA Compliant)

E. PatientAdvocacy@sfnlife.org | Fouts.John@gmail.com

Website: www.sfnlife.org/justiceforjohn/jfj/

Page 28 of 28 Date: 2025-03-09

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE BENJAMIN BEATON

* Filed With:

- Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit
- Judicial Conference of the United States
- U.S. Department of Justice Public Integrity Section
- U.S. House & Senate Judiciary Committees

Complainant:

John R. Fouts, MBA

- 9 2904 Sitka Dr. L29, Louisville, KY 40299
- 502.956.0052 (Text Only ADA Accommodations)
- **1** 502.996.8246 (HIPAA Compliant)
- Fouts.John@gmail.com | PatientAdvocacy@gmail.com

Nature of Complaint:

This filing details **judicial misconduct**, **obstruction of justice**, **due process violations**, **and abuse of power** committed by Judge Benjamin Beaton in the **Western District of Kentucky**. The violations include:

- Intentional delays and obstruction of emergency legal relief
- Failure to correct procedural errors, preventing the case from advancing
- Bias, lack of impartiality, and disregard for judicial ethics
- Obstruction of justice through improper handling of docketed filings
- Sealing of key documents without justification, preventing transparency

These actions constitute clear violations of federal law, the U.S. Constitution, and judicial ethics. Immediate removal, permanent disqualification, and criminal prosecution are warranted.

- 📌 Supporting documents included.
- 🖈 Immediate corrective action requested.

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE BENJAMIN BEATON

Judicial Misconduct Letter - Judge Benjamin Beaton

Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit

Judicial Conduct Committee
Office of the Circuit Executive
503 Potter Stewart U.S. Courthouse
100 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Subject: Judicial Misconduct Complaint Against Judge Benjamin Beaton – Demand for Immediate Removal, Permanent/Lifetime Disqualification, and Immediate Criminal Investigation

Attn: Members of the Sixth Circuit Judicial Conduct Committee,

I am submitting this **formal judicial misconduct complaint** against **Judge Benjamin J. Beaton**, who presides in the **United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky**, for **egregious violations of due process, judicial bias, gross obstruction of justice, and color of law abuses** that have deprived me of my fundamental rights as a litigant.

Summary of Misconduct & Legal Violations:

Judge Beaton has engaged in repeated **judicial misconduct**, including but not limited to:

- Obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503):
 - Benjamin J. Beaton has deliberately delayed and obstructed rulings on emergency filings, intentionally ensuring procedural disadvantage.

Page 1 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Misconduct Letter - Judge Benjamin Beaton

- Due process violations (5th & 14th Amendments):
 - He intentionally delayed ruling on critical motions in my case <u>until after an</u> <u>eviction hearing had already taken place</u>, effectively ensuring irreparable harm.
- Refusal to correct procedural errors:
 - He refused to correct the defendants' listing, preventing proper summons issuance and ensuring my case could not proceed.
- Fraud on the court (Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 (1944)):
 - The docket has been intentionally manipulated, and key records (Docket 43) have been improperly sealed without any explanation, violating transparency and due process, and unsealing requests continue to be ignored.
 - Bias and lack of impartiality (28 U.S.C. § 455): His actions demonstrate clear favoritism toward the opposing parties, violating federal recusal standards.
 - Emergency motion for recusal continues to be ignored.

Relief Demanded:

In light of these extremely **severe violations of judicial integrity**, I immediately demand the following:

- 1. Immediate removal of Judge Beaton from the bench.
- 2. Lifetime permanent disqualification from holding any judicial office.
- 3. Immediate criminal referral to the U.S. Department of Justice under 18 U.S.C. § 242 (Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law) and 18 U.S.C. § 1503 (Obstruction of Justice).

Page 2 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Misconduct Letter - Judge Benjamin Beaton

- 4. A full audit and unsealing of Docket 43 and Docket 56-2 and any other improperly sealed case records and/or correspondence regarding my case.
- 5. Immediate intervention to correct the procedural errors caused by Judge Beaton's actions, including the defendants' listing and summons issuance and full and complete docket audit(s) of all cases and case numbers involved from start/inception of case on Dec. 18, 2024, to current docketed item(s).

This complaint is supported by the **attached Judicial Council Complaint & Request for Immediate Removal and Criminal Charges**, which provides a comprehensive legal analysis of Judge Beaton's misconduct.

I request immediate action to uphold the **integrity of the judiciary** and to restore and protect my constitutional rights which have been wrongfully and unlawfully removed from me and my child (JAF).

Please immediately confirm receipt of this letter and provide an update on the status of this complaint, along with weekly updates until actions are finalized.

Sincerely,

John R. Fouts, MBA

John R. Foul

Plaintiff, Pro Se

2904 Sitka Dr. L29

Louisville, KY 40299

P. 502.956.0052 (Text Only – ADA Accommodations)

F. 502.996.8246 (HIPAA Compliant)

E. PatientAdvocacy@sfnlife.org | Fouts.John@gmail.com

Website: https://www.sfnlife.org/justiceforjohn/jfj/

Page 3 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE ARACELI MARTINEZ-OLGUIN

* Filed With:

- Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit
- Judicial Conference of the United States
- U.S. Department of Justice Public Integrity Section
- U.S. House & Senate Judiciary Committees

Complainant:

John R. Fouts, MBA

- 9 2904 Sitka Dr. L29, Louisville, KY 40299
- \$ 502.956.0052 (Text Only ADA Accommodations)
- **iii** 502.996.8246 (HIPAA Compliant)
- Fouts.John@gmail.com | PatientAdvocacy@gmail.com

Nature of Complaint:

This filing details **judicial misconduct**, **obstruction of justice**, **due process violations**, **and abuse of power** committed by Judge Araceli Martinez-Olguin in the **Northern District of California**. The violations include:

- Improper case transfer and closure before the Plaintiff was aware
- · Refusal to correct procedural errors, preventing summons issuance
- Judicial bias and refusal to follow constitutional due process
- · Obstruction of justice through intentional procedural barriers
- Failure to rule on essential motions, denying access to fair legal proceedings

These actions constitute clear violations of federal law, the U.S. Constitution, and judicial ethics. Immediate removal, permanent disqualification, and criminal prosecution are warranted.

- Supporting documents included.
- Immediate corrective action requested.

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE ARACELI MARTINEZ-OLGUIN

Judicial Misconduct Letter - Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín

Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Misconduct Letter - Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín

Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit

Judicial Conduct Committee Office of the Circuit Executive P.O. Box 193939 San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Subject: Judicial Misconduct Complaint Against Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín – Demand for Immediate Removal, Disqualification, and Criminal Investigation

Dear Members of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conduct Committee,

I am submitting this formal judicial misconduct complaint against Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín, who presides in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, for gross abuse of judicial authority, procedural fraud, obstruction of justice, and due process violations.

Summary of Misconduct & Legal Violations:

outilitially of thisoonidact & Logat violations.

Judge Martínez-Olguín has engaged in severe misconduct, including but not limited to:

- Obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503):
 - She engaged in procedural fraud by transferring my case to Kentucky against my explicit objections and immediately closing the case before I was even aware of the transfer.
- Fraud on the court (Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238
 (1944)): She refused to rule on pending emergency motions, manipulated docketing, and ensured procedural disadvantage to block my case from proceeding.

Page 1 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Misconduct Letter - Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín

- Due process violations (5th & 14th Amendments):
 - By refusing to rule on critical filings and by closing my case without notice,
 she deprived me of my constitutional right to due process.
- Bias and judicial misconduct (28 U.S.C. § 455):
 - Her actions demonstrate clear bias and a deliberate effort to obstruct justice, raising serious concerns regarding judicial integrity.
- Failure to correct procedural errors:
 - She failed to correct the defendants' listing, preventing summons
 issuance, which effectively blocked me from serving the necessary parties.

Relief Demanded:

Given the **severity of these violations**, I demand the following immediate actions:

- 1. Immediate removal of Judge Martínez-Olguín from the bench.
- 2. Lifetime permanent disqualification from holding any judicial office.
- 3. Criminal referral to the U.S. Department of Justice under 18 U.S.C. § 242 (Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law) and 18 U.S.C. § 1503 (Obstruction of Justice).
- 4. Immediate correction of the procedural errors caused by Judge Martínez-Olguín's actions, including the improper transfer, case closure, and defendants' listing.
- 5. A full judicial oversight investigation into case mismanagement, procedural fraud, and judicial corruption in the Northern District of California.

Page 2 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Misconduct Letter - Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín

This complaint is supported by the **attached Judicial Council Complaint & Request for Immediate Removal and Criminal Charges**, which outlines additional legal violations committed by Judge Martínez-Olguín.

I request immediate action to **preserve judicial integrity** and **prevent further harm to** litigants subjected to intentional procedural abuse.

Please confirm receipt of this letter and provide an update on the status of this complaint, along with weekly updates, until all actions are finalized.

Sincerely,

John R. Fouts, MBA

John R. Foul

Plaintiff, Pro Se

2904 Sitka Dr. L29

Louisville, KY 40299

P. 502.956.0052

F. 502.996.8246

E. PatientAdvocacy@sfnlife.org | Fouts.John@gmail.com

Page 3 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Misconduct Complaint & Oversight Filings Courtesy Copy List

Sixth Circuit Judicial Council (Judge Benjamin Beaton)

Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit

Office of the Circuit Executive 503 Potter Stewart U.S. Courthouse 100 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

Fax: (513) 564-7324

Phone: (513) 564-7200

Ninth Circuit Judicial Council (Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín)

Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit

Office of the Circuit Executive

P.O. Box 193939

San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Fax: (415) 355-8901

Phone: (415) 355-8000

Federal & Congressional Oversight Complaints

U.S. Department of Justice - Public Integrity Section

U.S. Department of Justice

Criminal Division – Public Integrity Section 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20530-0001

Fax: (202) 514-3003

U.S. House Judiciary Committee – Subcommittee on Courts

House Judiciary Committee – Subcommittee on Courts

2138 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

Fax (Confirm before sending): (202) 225-3951

Phone: (202) 225-3951

Page 1 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Misconduct Complaint & Oversight Filings Courtesy Copy List

U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee – Subcommittee on Federal Courts

Senate Judiciary Committee - Subcommittee on Federal Courts

224 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

Fax (Confirm before sending): (202) 224-7703

Phone: (202) 224-7703

Judicial Ethics & Supreme Court Oversight

U.S. Supreme Court – Judicial Ethics & Public Integrity Office Supreme Court of the United States

Office of Public Information

1 First Street NE

Washington, DC 20543

Fax: (202) 479-3211

Phone: (202) 479-3000

Whistleblower Protections & Retaliation Investigation

U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) – Whistleblower Protections

U.S. Office of Special Counsel

1730 M Street NW, Suite 218

Washington, DC 20036-4505

Fax: (202) 653-5151

Email: complaints@osc.gov

Phone: (202) 804-7000

Page 2 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09

Judicial Misconduct Complaint & Oversight Filings Courtesy Copy List

NOTE:

EXHIBITS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST – NOT PROVIDED WITH PACKET DUE TO ENORMOUSNESS OF THE SUM TOTAL OF THE CURRENT FILINGS, HOWEVER, A COPY OF THE CURRENT DOCKET FOR THE INITIAL CASE FILED, HAS BEEN INCLUDED FOR YOUR REFERENCE.

Page 3 of 3 Date: 2025-03-09